Immediate implant placement and provisionalization in the aesthetic region has demonstrated predictable long-term results. Use of the immediate loading protocol significantly reduces the need for a second surgical intervention following implant placement. Based on the patient’s preoperative condition, this technique can also be incorporated in select cases without the need for complicated bone grafting and augmentation procedures in compromised ridges. This article discusses the placement of single-tooth implants in the anterior region and presents the advantages and limitations of the immediate placement protocol.

Learning Objectives:
This article presents the considerations associated with immediate implant placement and provisionalization in the aesthetic zone. Upon reading this article, the reader should:

• Identify the advantages and limitations of immediate implant loading.
• Be aware of the considerations that must be addressed when attempting a flapless implant placement approach.
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Immediate implant placement and provisionalization have demonstrated increased predictability and applicability in clinical practice.\textsuperscript{1-6} The use of immediate implant loading protocols allows the clinician to reduce the need for surgical intervention as well as complicated bone grafting and augmentation procedures required to rebuild resorbed or previously edentulous ridges. Although the bulk of the dental literature to date has related to immediate implant treatment carried out with a two-stage approach,\textsuperscript{7-12} the one-stage technique is gaining popularity.\textsuperscript{3-5,13-17}

This one-stage approach has the advantage of a simplified surgical approach and eliminates the need for a second surgery to uncover the implant. After 10 years of experience in the evolution of this technique, the author has observed a significant improvement in the patient’s postoperative experience following the use of a flapless approach for access to the implantation site. Even when a flapless approach is not possible (ie, where significant bone augmentation and grafting is required), a flap can be raised, and necessary grafting can be performed at the time of tooth extraction and implant placement. While the benefit of the reduced surgical trauma is eliminated following flap elevation, the treatment period may be reduced by immediate implant placement. In addition, implant placement into a fresh extraction site provides an adequate supply of blood to the wound and allows sufficient bone maintenance since resorption and remodeling will not yet have occurred. In this latter situation, implant placement can also be performed using a one- or two-stage approach, depending on the circumstances and the clinician’s preference. Soft tissue augmentation can also be completed, or socket seal surgery can be performed if required.

The Flapless Approach

Soft tissue healing and maturation can occur simultaneously with implant integration when a flapless, one-stage approach is used. Favorable maintenance of the soft tissue contours, particularly the interdental papilla, has also been observed using this technique. This benefit may be associated with the immediate gingival support provided by the provisional restoration or healing abutment. As with traditional implant treatment, however, approximately 1 mm of gingival recession may occur at the labial gingival level following placement of the definitive restoration,\textsuperscript{18,19} which may be attributed to the biological width formation following repeated removal and replacement of the implant components during impression making, try-in, and fitting of the restoration.\textsuperscript{20,21} This

Figure 1. Implant placement illustrated 3 mm apical from the desired labial gingival margin to facilitate a smooth transition from the diameter of the fixture to the cervical tooth form of the restoration.

Figure 2. Radiograph 2 years following restoration of a central incisor with an immediate implant system. The tapered implant demonstrated similar contours as compared to natural teeth.

Figure 3. Case 1. Preoperative appearance demonstrated compromised gingival levels.
In the author’s experience, however, this recession can be managed successfully following a slight modification of the surgical technique. While careful attention to detail is required during the restoration of a central incisor in a patient with a high lip line and thin, high, scalloped tissue, the author suggests the use of an immediate implant approach combined with simultaneous placement of a connective tissue graft. The graft can be placed between the labial gingival tissue margin and the implant healing abutment or abutment/provisional crown to create thicker tissue and maintain a more stable gingival level. This technique has been well established for predictable root coverage and ridge augmentation procedures around teeth, and the author has found the technique similarly useful around dental implants. Care must be taken when diagnosing the patient and creating a treatment plan based on a flapless approach versus traditional flap elevation (Table).

The interproximal bone level of the adjacent teeth is an essential diagnostic factor. The apical movement of the biologic width complex generally leads to a corresponding labial recession of approximately 1 mm. Care must, therefore, be taken when an immediate single-tooth implant restoration is planned in the anterior region. Many clinicians opt to utilize a delayed implant placement approach in the aesthetic zone in order to overbuild the soft tissue to allow for the anticipated recession.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flapless Surgery</th>
<th>Flap Elevation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimal bone grafting required for small defects only</td>
<td>Bone graft required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate soft tissue</td>
<td>Large bone defects</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No large bone defects</td>
<td>Inadequate soft tissue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tapered implant</td>
<td>Straight or tapered implant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-stage placement</td>
<td>One- or two-stage placement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediate provisionalization</td>
<td>Provisional FPD or denture</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the author’s experience, however, this recession can be managed successfully following a slight modification of the surgical technique. While careful attention to detail is required during the restoration of a central incisor in a patient with a high lip line and thin, high, scalloped tissue, the author suggests the use of an immediate implant approach combined with simultaneous placement of a connective tissue graft. The graft can be placed between the labial gingival tissue margin and the implant healing abutment or abutment/provisional crown to create thicker tissue and maintain a more stable gingival level. This technique has been well established for predictable root coverage and ridge augmentation procedures around teeth, and the author has found the technique similarly useful around dental implants. Care must be taken when diagnosing the patient and creating a treatment plan based on a flapless approach versus traditional flap elevation (Table).

The interproximal bone level of the adjacent teeth is an essential diagnostic factor. The apical extension of the contact area between the implant crown and
adjacent tooth must be 5 mm or less from the interden-
tal bone level for predictable papilla development. The pa-
tient's gingival phenotype will further influence treat-
ment planning. For example, labial recession is less likely in patients with thick, flat gingival tissues than those with a high scallop and thin tissues. The aforementioned “sandwich” connective tissue graft creates a more stable result and can be employed with a conventional coro-
nally positioned flap once the definitive restoration is placed. It is the author’s preference, however, to perform bone augmentation at the time of tooth extraction and implant placement wherever possible, thus avoiding the need for secondary surgical intervention.

The use of this immediate flapless approach with a healing abutment or provisional implant restoration appears to provide a minimally invasive, reliable solution. While orthodontic extrusion of the tooth or root remnant prior to extraction may further address the potential aesthetic compromise that may be created by the anticipated 1 mm of gingival recession, this option is not possible in the vast majority of cases, since many single-tooth cases are fractured or failed post crowns where root fracture or infection necessitate immediate extraction. In addition, given today’s possibilities for soft tissue and bone augmentation, it is questionable whether it is even necessary at all. The choice of approach ultimately resides with the individual clinician and will be influenced by his or her experience, ability and prefer-
ence. In the author’s practice, an immediate flapless approach is now the treatment of choice wherever pos-

The benefit of the reduced surgical trauma associ-
ated with the immediate flapless approach, as well as the possibility of an immediate provisional crown where there is adequate primary fixation, represent additional patient benefits. The patient generally experiences
minimal postoperative pain, minimal swelling, and the psychological impact of tooth removal seems to be significantly alleviated by the clinician’s ability to immediately replace the tooth. Maintenance of the interdental soft tissue architecture can also be facilitated when using an immediate approach. While an equivalent result can be achieved using a conventional delayed approach if the bone architecture is appropriate, the treatment period, number of surgical invasions, and complexity of the required surgical procedures are reduced.

**Implant Selection**

The author’s preference is to utilize a tapered implant for immediate placement cases due to its ability to better approximate the socket shape and obtain excellent primary stability due to its “self-tightening” nature. This design also allows for a gradual increase of the implant width for the development of transgingival contours of the abutment crown complex that are similar to the tooth (Figures 1 and 2). The implant head should be ideally placed approximately 2 mm to 3 mm apical from the desired final labial gingival margin.24,25 The implant osteotomy should be prepared towards the palatal aspect of the socket and extend 3 mm apically beyond the socket or any periapical lesion into sound bone.7

**Considerations During Immediate Single-Tooth Implant Replacement**

Immediate single-tooth implants can now be managed with increased predictability. The concept of the biologic width further maximizes the aesthetic outcomes of such restorations (Table).26 In immediate single-tooth implants, it is important for the implant to be placed towards the palatal aspect of the extraction socket, and too wide an implant should be avoided (Figures 3 through 9) since:

- This does not allow for sufficient space between the implant and the labial bone, which will then fall within the horizontal component of the

---

Figure 11. An HA-coated implant was placed 4 mm from the existing gingival levels and a graft material was placed into the labial void with the cover screw in place to further support the labial bone and tissue.

Figure 12. The patient’s tooth was adapted to the prepared abutment and cemented in place.

Figure 13A. Preoperative radiograph of the fractured incisor. 13B. Postoperative radiograph 1 year following restoration demonstrated crown adaptation and maintenance of the bone levels around the implant.

Figure 14. Postoperative clinical appearance following 1 year of function. Additional incisal re-contouring was performed to improve the tooth form. The titanium abutment creates some greying of the soft tissues.
Figure 16. The implant head was placed 3 mm apical to the gingival margin and labial void was packed with non-resorbable bone allograft.

three-dimensional biologic width or space (up to 1.5 mm) and be resorbed;
• Excessive implant width limits the development of an appropriate labial subgingival and trans- gingival contour. Once the abutment and the restoration are fabricated, the contours may be too bulky or labially placed, which can lead to labial recession;
• The labial bone may be too thin to cope with occlusal stresses;
• Raising a flap compromises the blood supply to the thin labial bone; and
• An implant with excessive width may leave insufficient space for proper nutrition of the labial bone.

It was traditionally hypothesized that the placement of a space between the implant and socket may lead to ingress of soft tissue and prevent proper osseointegration, which caused many clinicians to place graft materials to fill the gap. Recent research, however, indicates that this space may not be of such concern.27 In fact, a 1-mm to 1.5-mm gap may be ideal to maintain the labial bone and implant width and position should, therefore, be selected with this parameter in mind.

Case Presentations
Immediate Implant Placement and Direct Provisionalization
A 23-year-old female patient presented for restoration of the fractured maxillary right central incisor (Figure 10). A high lip line and pronounced gingival scallop were evident. The gingival margin of tooth #8(11) was slightly extruded and positioned approximately 1 mm more coronal than tooth #9(21). A provisional composite splint had been previously positioned. The fractured incisor was carefully removed with the periotome and forceps, ensuring minimal trauma to the surrounding tissue and bone. Since the implant head had to be positioned 2 mm to 3 mm relative to the desired final level of the gingival margin once the definitive restoration was placed, care was taken to ensure that the implant was positioned slightly deeper than normal (ie, 4 mm from the preoperative gingival margin) to allow for the anticipated 1 mm to 2 mm of gingival recession (Figure 11). A nonresorbable allograft material (Bio-Oss, Osteohealth, Shirley, NY) was placed with the implant cover screw in place. This material was used to help preserve the bony architecture and maintain the definitive gingival contours.

The crown section of the removed tooth was hollowed out, and a cement vent hole was prepared in the cingulum area. The tooth was adapted to a prepared angled abutment. The internal aspect of the tooth and margins was etched, and a dentin bonding agent was placed and light-cured. The abutment and tooth were then placed on the implant and relined. The crown and abutment were then removed once again, and the margins were refined with a flowable composite. The margins were polished, the internal fit surface was slightly relieved to create a space for the luting cement, and the vent hole was checked for patency. This procedure prevented apical extrusion of the cement into the socket. The abutment was tightened, and the access hole was sealed with a cotton pledget and a provisional filling material. The tooth fragment was then used as a provisional crown and cemented. Excess cement was carefully removed using a thin probe around the crown and subgingival regions (Figure 12). The incisal edges of the central incisors were slightly modified for improved symmetry.
fragments was performed using a periotome and small forceps. The socket was examined and the labial bone found intact with a small fenestration approximately 2 mm to 3 mm from the coronal labial bone margin. The apical granuloma was chronic, encapsulated, and easily removed with the root. The socket was thoroughly debrided, and an implant osteotomy was prepared beyond the lesion into sound bone. A 5 mm/13 mm tapered implant was placed 3 mm from the gingival margin. The coronal diameter of the implant placed was slightly narrower than the actual socket (Figure 16) to allow for flexibility in the development of an optimum subgingival and transgingival contour and to allow space for the biologic width. A bone allograft material was firmly packed into the labial space between the implant and the labial bone to provide support for the labial gingival tissues and enable bone fill of the void and regeneration of the fenestrated bone. The remaining periosteum functioned as a membrane and helped promote bone healing. An appropriate healing abutment was inserted.

The provisional fragment was recontoured and used for 1 year as a long-term provisional restoration (Figures 13 and 14). Although the titanium abutment was apparent through the tissues, contemporary technologies would allow the use of a ceramic abutment that could alleviate the darkened appearance. Since the natural prosthesis demonstrated satisfactory function and positioning, it was decided not to provide an alternative restoration.

Immediate Implant Placement and Provisionalization with FPD
A 34-year-old male patient presented with a failed post-and-core crown restoration and root fracture in tooth #8 (Figure 15). The patient had a thick, flat gingival genotype with a low scallop. There was a small labial sinus and also a periapical area evident on the preoperative radiograph, so it was uncertain whether an immediate implant would be possible. A small Maryland-style provisional composite fixed partial denture (FPD) with a hollow pontic was fabricated prior to extraction. Careful extraction of the root fragments was performed using a periotome and small forceps. The socket was examined and the labial bone found intact with a small fenestration approximately 2 mm to 3 mm from the coronal labial bone margin. The apical granuloma was chronic, encapsulated, and easily removed with the root. The socket was thoroughly debrided, and an implant osteotomy was prepared beyond the lesion into sound bone. A 5 mm x 13 mm tapered implant was placed 3 mm from the gingival margin.

The coronal diameter of the implant placed was slightly narrower than the actual socket (Figure 16) to allow for flexibility in the development of an optimum subgingival and transgingival contour and to allow space for the biologic width. A bone allograft material was firmly packed into the labial space between the implant and the labial bone to provide support for the labial gingival tissues and enable bone fill of the void and regeneration of the fenestrated bone. The remaining periosteum functioned as a membrane and helped promote bone healing. An appropriate healing abutment.
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Figure 21. Appearance at 2 years after final restoration of PFM crown on a custom abutment with porcelain shoulder.

Conclusion

While immediate placement offers significant clinical advantages when combined with a flapless single-stage approach or immediate provisionalization technique, careful consideration of the proximity of the implant surface to the bone and adjacent teeth is essential for success. A thorough understanding of the biologic width concept, as well as careful case selection and diagnosis, are essential in successful treatment planning. The provision of immediate support for the gingival tissue may also influence the stability and maintenance of the gingival contours and implant bone levels. The next article in this series will further discuss the immediate implant concept to include multiple-implant adjacents.

Acknowledgment

The author declares no financial interest in any of the products cited herein. The author mentions his gratitude to Eva Forst and Nikki Cox for their contribution in the laboratory and technical aspects of the cases presented.